1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE				
2					
3	IN RE: LORDSTOWN MOTORS CORP.,	. Chapter 11 . Case No. 23-10831 (MFW)			
4	HORDOTOWN HOTONG CONT.,	. (Jointly Administered)			
5		. Courtroom No 824 Market Street			
6	Debtors.	. Wilmington, Delaware 19801 .			
7		. Wednesday, October 25, 2023 2:00 p.m.			
9	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE				
10	APPEARANCES:				
11	For the Debtors:	Morgan Patterson, Esquire			
12	Tor the bestors.	WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON, LLP			
13		1313 North Market Street, Suite 1200 Wilmington, Delaware 19801			
14		-and-			
15		David M. Turetsky, Esquire WHITE & CASE			
16		1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020			
17	For Foxconn:	David Guyder, Esquire			
18	lor rondom.	ALLEN & OVERY, LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas			
19		New York, New York 10020			
20	Audio Operator:	Lesa Neal, ECRO			
21	Transcription Company:	Reliable			
22		The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110 Wilmington, Delaware 19801			
23		Telephone: (302)654-8080 Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com			
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,				
25	transcript produced by transcription service.				

1	(API	PEARANCES CO	ONTINUED):		
2 3 4	For	Interested	Parties:	Henry Jaffe, Esquire PASHMAN STEIN WALDER & HAYDEN, 1007 North Orange Street 4th Floor, Suite 183	P.C.
		Tt	Danta	Wilmington, Delaware 19801	
5	For	Interested	Party:	Michael Etkin, Esquire LOWENSTEIN SANDLER, LLP	
6				One Lowenstein Drive Roseland, New Jersey 07068	
7					
8					
9					
LO					
L1					
L2					
L3					
L4					
L5					
L6					
L7					
L8					
L9					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

(Proceedings commenced at 2:06 p.m.) 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. This is Judge 3 Walrath. Sorry for the delay. We're here in Lordstown. 4 I will turn it over to counsel to tell us our 5 status. MS. PATTERSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. It's 6 7 Morgan Patterson, of Womble Bond Dickinson, on behalf of the debtors. 8 9 Your Honor, we just had one matter on for today, 10 which was our Disclosure Statement hearing, and we are --11 that has been adjourned to next week on October 31st. 12 But, Your Honor, I will -- if it's okay with Your 13 Honor, turn over the podium to my co-counsel, David Turetsky, 14 at White & Case, to give a quick update on where we stand. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Turetsky? MR. TURETSKY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. David 16 17

Turetsky, of White & Case, on behalf of the debtors. good to see you again, and thank you for making time for us. I will be brief.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Your Honor knows, the debtors have made it a priority to try to move quickly through these cases, including they're trying to merge as quickly as possible and today was the [indiscernible] that the Disclosure Statement hearing for the Plan of -- for the Plan that we had filed.

On September 1st, the debtors did file a proposed

Plan, as well as a related Disclosure Statement, and that reflected ongoing discussions with the Creditor's Committee, not full consensus of the Creditor's Committee, but ongoing discussions at the time it was filed.

Since the filing of the original Plan, an additional Committee of equity security holders was appointed and we have a new and valuable voice for fiduciary who is in these cases and, since that time, the debtors have engaged around the clock with each of the Official Committees to revise the terms and present an Amended Plan and Disclosure Statement that would have[indiscernible] among the Official Committee.

As Your Honor knows, I guess it was late Monday morning -- Monday night or early yesterday morning, we did file an Amended Plan and Disclosure Statement. I'm pleased to say that Amended Plan and Disclosure Statement has the support of each of the Official Committees.

In filing that Plan, the debtors did try to address the various objections that had been filed by parties who objected to the Disclosure Statement. But given the status of the Plan, we did not have a lot of opportunity to engage with those objectors, so I can't tell you if we've resolved or not resolved those objections.

In light of that, we thought it appropriate, and to give Your Honor more time with the documents, to continue

the hearing on the Disclosure Statement to October 31st. We have been reaching out to the various parties to try and narrow whatever remaining issues there may be and our hope is to proceed on the 31st with a indiscernible] issues, to the extent that there are any, that are as narrow as possible and that will facilitate Your Honor's hearing, as well as the participation of all parties.

So that is the status report, unless Your Honor has anything further, I'm content to cede the podium.

THE COURT: No. Thank you for that update so that everybody knows where we are.

Does anybody else wish to be heard?

MR. GUYDER: Your Honor, this is Dan Guyder, from Allen & Overy, for the Foxconn entities.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GUYDER: Just adding to what Mr. Turetsky provided, at least as it relates to our objection, we had filed a fairly lengthy objection to the Disclosure Statement, and I'm pleased to say that in the Amended Plan and Disclosure Statement, I think the debtors have made substantial changes that largely address the objections we raised and, in particular, concerning the proposed process for dealing in certain of the parties' disputes.

And so as to any residual objections, we're going to continue what I think has been a constructive dialog with

counsel for the debtors and hopefully have those resolved by agreement.

And I would just add -- I think I mentioned at the last hearing, Foxconn also remains, you know, willing, able, and ready to assist I think the buyer in dealing with the logistics around the closing of the sale transaction, which I understand notice, continuing a pace, and set for closing I think in the near term and we remain available to engage with the debtors of the prior however we can to try to assist with that.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else?

MR. JAFFE: Yes, Your Honor. This is Henry Jaffe.

I am here on behalf of the Delaware Chancery Class

Plaintiffs.

Your Honor, I hate to break up what seems to be the party, but, you know, I wanted to let Your Honor know that we filed an objection to the Disclosure Statement and that would [indiscernible] the objections, but actually extended some of the objections that the U.S. trustee had raised regarding non-consensual releases.

We objected to the originally filed Plan and Disclosure Statement and, as Your Honor may recall, our claims and causes of action are claims against non-debtor parties that were trying to preserve and prevent other parties from releasing in a bankruptcy case and these

concerns are imminent. You've got to -- first of all, our litigation is fairly far along, as Your Honor may remember, and also we're in advanced settlement discussions.

So, Your Honor, we had heard word that this Plan might be alleviating our concerns, addressing them, resolving them, and I can tell you that in terms of the concerns that we raised, first of all, with respect to this amended set of documents, number one, it didn't resolve any of our concerns and, in fact, things that we complained about, the debtors doubled down on, including non-consensual opt-out releases, which this Court has turned down a number of occasions.

But, Your Honor, it actually gets worse because when we got the Plan we revised, there were all new sets of provisions, including provisions under which another class plaintiff -- it's actually a punitive class plaintiff claimant in the Ohio securities litigation. That class has not been certified, whereas our class has been and we're far along.

But the point here, Your Honor, is we believe that under the revised Plan, not only are the original third party release issue is not resolved, but the debtors have actually extended the scope of those releases and made it even more difficult for my clients to avoid having their non-debtor claims being released as a result of this Plan and things that are happening in this bankruptcy case.

Your Honor, I know today is not the day to argue those things. However, Your Honor, those provisions are complicated and extensive and we want to have an opportunity to address them and file a supplemental response, given that we just got these yesterday morning and we'd like to address those at the disclosure statement.

THE COURT: Does the debtor wish to respond to that?

MR. TURETSKY: Your Honor, as Mr. Jaffe noted, today is not the day to address substantive arguments.

I am open to having a conversation with Mr. Jaffe. I did reach out to one of his colleagues to see if there's a way to resolve the objections and, if there's not, then I think we'll have a discussion with Your Honor and we'll get guidance from Your Honor as to -- as -- but we do believe that all of the releases within the Plan are consensual releases. There is no intent to provide for non-consensual releases upon debtor parties.

THE COURT: Well, I may hear that argument on the  $31st\ then.$ 

MR. JAFFE: The point, Your Honor, from our perspective though, is that there are new and significant materials in the Amended Plan and we would like to have an opportunity to explain to the Court why those additional provisions are also impermissible, in light of the Court's

prior holdings regarding non-consensual releases. 1 2 These are --3 THE COURT: Well, are --MR. JAFFE: [Indiscernible]. 4 5 THE COURT: Mr. Jaffe, are you asking can you file 6 a sur -- another objection or do you want a continuance of 7 time to do that? I don't know what you're asking for. MR. JAFFE: Fair enough, Your Honor. We would 8 9 like, at the very least, to have the opportunity prior to 10 Tuesday's hearing, but certainly not by tomorrow or this week because we're still working through the materials, to file a 11 supplemental objection, not repeating what we said before, 12 but describing the new provisions and how they fail to comply 13 with the requirements of disclosure coming through. 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Turetsky, any objection to that 16 if, in fact, there are --17 MR. TURETSKY: Well, so, Your Honor, there were --18 there are obviously revisions of the Amended Plan. I will note, and -- so, look, I'll -- I don't 19 20 think that we should be continuing the hearing past the 31st. 21 That -- and we would want an opportunity to respond to 22 whatever Mr. Jaffe wants to put in. 23 He's obviously free to file a pleading after give 24 him -- I quess it's Wednesday today, until the end of the 25 week. If we can respond over the weekend?

But I will note Mr. Jaffe represents a class of 1 2 plaintiffs that have not brought suit against the debtors. 3 These are claims against non-debtors. The debtors are not parties to the suit, as Your Honor noted in a hearing about 4 5 -- where we sought to extend the stay to Mr. Jaffe and the 6 lawsuit. 7 I'm not sure -- again, I don't want to pre-argue things, but we're talking about a party that is I would say 8 9 not even a party in interest. 10 THE COURT: So that you can confirm that the 11 Amended Plan does not provide for any releases of any of the defendants in his action? 12 MR. TURETSKY: Oh, non-consensual releases, Your 13 Honor. The releases in the Plan are all consensual releases. 14 THE COURT: So if --15 16 MR. TURETSKY: If a --17 THE COURT: So if his plaintiff does not consent, 18 since you say he's not even a creditor, none of the plaintiff's claims are being released? 19 20 MR. TURETSKY: None of the class -- the class 21 representative claims are being released. To the extent that 22 there is an individual creditor or equity holder within the 23 case who consents to a release, they would be releasing their 24 claims to any proceeds from that lawsuit.

MR. JAFFE: But then to be clear, Your Honor, the

25

way the releases are framed, they are all, under the Plan, opt-out releases. They are not opt-in releases. They are non-consensual under this --

THE COURT: All right. You can argue that and it's -- they've been non-consensual or opt-out from the beginning and we'll have that argument on the 31st.

But I'm not going to -- I'm not setting a date by which Mr. Jaffe has to respond and I'm not sure I'll give the debtor a chance to file a reply to that.

If there are changes in the Plan, any party can file an objection to those changes and I'll decide whether I'm capable of hearing those objections on the 31st.

That's all I can say at this point. I haven't seen them and I haven't had a chance to review them.

Mr. Etkin, did you wish to be heard?

MR. ETKIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Your Honor. We represent the lead plaintiff in the Ohio litigation, and I'm not going to talk about merits. Obviously, third party releases are a significant issue for us and we'll get to that when the time is appropriate, to the extent there remains an issue.

My concern is more with process and timing. This
Plan that was filed essentially yesterday is a C change from
the prior version and there's a lot to digest, a lot that's
new. We think that it's more than likely that several of our

objections in our original Disclosure Statement objection 1 have been resolved. Some have not. But the problem also is 2 3 that, given the contents of the new Plan or the new provisions, there may be issues that are now ripe for 5 objection now but weren't before.

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So just to give Your Honor a little background process-wise, we've been in discussions with the debtor regarding a resolution since prior to the Chapter 11 filing. Those discussions have continued, all in good faith and all with an eye towards trying to reach a resolution.

In fact, the discussions recently included both Official Committees. We had a mediation session with respect to that and I would leave those discussions as ongoing. You know, the problem that we face is that we weren't involved in any of these discussions that led to the filing of the Plan yesterday and, in particular, those Plan provisions that impact on my constituency.

So, the -- our concern again, Your Honor, is one of timing. We've started to review this. There's a lot to take in. I don't know to what extent we're going to need to file a supplemental pleading but, obviously, we reserve the right to do so.

The debtors have reached out specifically about the new Plan and Disclosure Statement and, to the extent we can deal with some of the issues, that's fine and I look

forward to it.

But, again, the new hearing is six days from today and, although we respect and understand the fact that the debtors and the Official Committees want to move this along quickly, again, I'm concerned about the timing and having the full opportunity to review what's been put on the table and all in there that's new and be able to have a full fruited hearing on the 31st that takes into account all of these changes and also takes into account the time that parties may need to try to resolve issues prior to the hearing. There's just not that much time for it.

THE COURT: Mr. Turetsky, why do we need to have this on the 31st?

MR. TURETSKY: Your Honor, I think -- if I may respond? I'll answer your question first and then I would like to respond to Mr. Etkin's comments about the changes to the Plan.

In terms of that we need to file -- have the hearing on the 31st, we are trying to adhere to a schedule that keeps the timing so that the debtors can emerge prior to year-end.

We understand that Your Honor may -- you know, may determine that you need additional time as we get to the 31st, but we would ask that you not pre-judge that.

To that -- in that regard, in terms of the changes

that Mr. Etkin referred to, the changes that were made to the Plan, in many respects, were designed to address issues that Mr. Etkin's clients raised in their objection to the Disclosure Statement.

One of the issues that they made -- that they addressed was that there was a single classification of common equity interests in 510(d) claims. Those claims are now bracketed out.

What we're talking about in terms of the hearing on the Disclosure Statement are objections to disclosure, not Plan treatment. We believe that the issues of disclosure are fairly clear and can be addressed very quickly.

With that said, that will be up to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I'll give you fair warning because I have taken the position that consensual releases are opt-in only and, at the Disclosure Statement hearing, I will be considering the procedures for soliciting votes and what you term as consensual releases.

I don't know what I'll do on this but I suspect
I'll follow my usual procedure. So whether you need to go
forward on the 31st -- I will have a hearing on the 31st. I
will give the debtor the opportunity to talk to the parties
with whom the debtor has not had an opportunity to talk, but
I will consider any requests to push off the hearing again if
there has not been ample time to address the changes that the

debtor has made after concluding its negotiations with the Committees. And I hope that the parties can resolve -- if it's as simple as Mr. Turetsky suggests, hopefully, he can resolve matters with Mr. Etkin. I don't know with the status of any of other objections, but there are a number of them, so --and I too will have to look at the revisions that have been made. MR. TURETSKY: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? (No verbal response) THE COURT: All right. We'll continue this then until the 31st and see where we are then. COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor. (Proceedings concluded at 2:25 p.m.) 

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability. /s/ Tammy L. Kelly \_\_\_\_\_ October 26, 2023 Tammy L. Kelly Court Transcriptionist For Reliable